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Abstract. We propose a new approach to program web services. Although we base our approach on the Common Gateway Interface (CGI) to ensure wide applicability, we avoid many of the drawbacks and pitfalls of traditional CGI programming by providing an additional abstraction layer implemented in the multi-paradigm declarative language Curry. For instance, the syntactical details of HTML and passing values with CGI are hidden by a wrapper that executes abstract HTML forms by translating them into concrete HTML code. This leads to a high-level approach to server side web service programming where notions like event handlers, state variables and control of interactions are available. Thanks to the use of a functional logic language, we can structure our approach as an embedded domain specific language where the functional and logic programming features of the host language are exploited to abstract from details and frequent errors in standard CGI programming.

1 Motivation

In the early days of the World Wide Web (in the following called the web), most of the documents were static, i.e., stored in files which can be viewed in a nicely formatted layout. With the introduction of the Common Gateway Interface (CGI), more and more documents become dynamic, i.e., they are computed on the web server at the time they are requested from a client. In combination with input forms specified in HTML documents, more complex forms of interactions become possible so that clients can retrieve or store specific data via their web browsers.

An advantage of CGI is that it is supported by most web servers. Thus, the use of CGI does not need any special extensions on the server or the client side (e.g., no servlets or cookies), which is a requirement for our development in order to ensure wide applicability. On the other hand, CGI offers only a very primitive form of interaction so that the programming of web services often becomes awkward. Although general scripting languages like Perl provide libraries for decoding input form data, they do not support the programmer in the construction of correct output data or to control a sequence of interactions with the client. This demands for specialized languages (e.g., MAWL [12], DynDoc [15]) or specialized libraries in existing languages (e.g., [2, 13, 17]). In this paper we take the
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latter approach. We show how the features of a functional logic language (see [3] for a survey on this kind of languages) can be exploited to provide a flexible and high-level approach to programming web services without any language extensions (since our library is completely implemented in Curry). In particular, our approach offers the following features for implementing web services:

- The HTML documents requested by the clients can be flexibly generated depending on the computed data.
- The data filled in a form by the user can be easily retrieved by an environment model using logical variables as references.
- The use of logical variables as references (instead of fixed strings as in “raw” CGI) improves the compositionality of HTML forms.
- The different actions to be taken when a user has completed a form are specified by an event handler model.
- The sequence (or iterations) of interactions with the web server is described in one script and not distributed over a set of script files. In particular, a form is described together with the handler for this form which avoids typical CGI programming errors (e.g., undefined input fields).
- State variables which should persist between different interactions are directly supported.
- The CGI interaction (usually, by environment variables and value decoding) is hidden to the user and encapsulated in a wrapper that translates the high-level scripts into HTML code.

This paper is structured as follows. The next section provides a short overview of the main features of Curry as relevant for this paper. Sections 3 and 4 introduce our approach for modeling basic HTML documents and interactive forms. Section 5 discusses the use of our programming model by various examples before we sketch in Sect. 6 the implementation of our library and conclude in Sect. 7 with a discussion of related work.

2 Basic Elements of Curry

Since we assume familiarity with basic HTML and CGI programming, we review in this section only those elements of Curry which are necessary to understand the ideas presented in this paper. More details about Curry’s computation model and a complete description of all language features can be found in [4,9].

Curry is a modern multi-paradigm declarative language combining in a seamless way features from functional programming (nested expressions, lazy evaluation, higher-order functions), logic programming (logical variables, partial data structures, built-in search), and concurrent programming (concurrent evaluation of expressions with synchronization on logical variables), and supports programming-in-the-large with specific features (types, modules, encapsulated search). From a syntactic point of view, a Curry program is a functional pro-
gram extended by the possible inclusion of free (logical) variables in conditions and
right-hand sides of defining rules. Thus, a Curry program consists of the def-
ition of functions and the data types on which the functions operate. Functions
are evaluated in a lazy manner. To provide the full power of logic programming,
functions can be called with partially instantiated arguments and defined by
conditional equations with constraints in the conditions. The behavior of func-
tion calls with free variables depends on the evaluation annotations of functions
which can be either flexible or rigid. Calls to rigid functions are suspended if a
demanded argument, i.e., an argument whose value is necessary to decide the ap-
licability of a rule, is uninstantiated (“redesilation”). Calls to flexible functions
are evaluated by a possibly non-deterministic instantiation of the demanded ar-
guments to the required values in order to apply a rule (“narrowing”).

Example 1. The following Curry program defines the data types of Boolean val-
ues and polymorphic lists (first two lines) and functions for computing the con-
catenation of lists and the last element of a list:

data Bool = True | False
data List a = [] | a : List a
conc :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
cconc eval flex
conc [] y = y
conc (x:xs) y = x : conc xs y

last xs | conc ys [x] :== xs = x  where x, ys free

The data type declarations define True and False as the Boolean constants and
[] (empty list) and : (non-empty list) as the constructors for polymorphic lists
(a is a type variable ranging over all types and the type “List a” is usually
written as [a] for conformity with Haskell).

The (optional) type declaration (“::”) of the function conc specifies that
conc takes two lists as input and produces an output list, where all list elements
are of the same (unspecified) type.\footnote{Curry has a Haskell-like syntax \cite{14], i.e., (type) variables and function names usually start with lowercase letters and the names of type and data constructors start with an uppercase letter. The application of \( f \) to \( \epsilon \) is denoted by juxtaposition (“\( f \, \epsilon \)”).}

Since conc is explicitly defined as flexible\footnote{Curry uses curried function types where \( a \rightarrow \beta \) denotes the type of all functions mapping elements of type \( a \) into elements of type \( \beta \).} by “eval flex”, the equation “conc ys [x] :== xs” can be solved by in-
stantiating the first argument \( ys \) to the list \( xs \) without the last argument, i.e.,
the only solution to this equation satisfies that \( x \) is the last element of \( xs \).

In general, functions are defined by (conditional) rules of the form
“\( l \mid c = e \) where \( v \) free” where \( l \) has the form \( ft_1 \ldots t_n \) with \( f \) being a func-
tion, \( t_1, \ldots, t_n \) data terms and each variable occurs only once, the condition \( c \)
is a constraint, \( e \) is a well-formed expression which may also contain function

\begin{itemize}
\item \( c_1 \equiv c_2 \) is a constraint.
\end{itemize}

\footnote{As a default, all functions except for constraints are rigid.}
calls, lambda abstractions etc, and \( r_s \) is the list of free variables that occur in \( e \) and \( e \) but not in \( l \) (the condition and the where parts can be omitted if \( e \) and \( r_s \) are empty, respectively). The where part can also contain further local function definitions which are only visible in this rule. A conditional rule can be applied if its left-hand side matches the current call and its condition is satisfiable. A constraint is any expression of the built-in type Success. Each Curry system provides at least equational constraints of the form \( e_1 \Leftarrow e_2 \) which are satisfiable if both sides \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) are reducible to unifiable data terms (i.e., terms without defined function symbols). However, specific Curry systems can also support more powerful constraint structures, like arithmetic constraints on real numbers or finite domain constraints, as in the PAKCS implementation [7].

The operational semantics of Curry, precisely described in [4, 9], is a conservative extension of lazy functional programming (if no free variables occur in the program or the initial goal) and (concurrent) logic programming. Due to the use of an optimal evaluation strategy [1], Curry can be considered as a generalization of concurrent constraint programming [16] with a lazy (optimal) evaluation strategy. Due to this generalization, Curry supports a clear separation between the sequential (functional) parts of a program, which are evaluated with an efficient and optimal evaluation strategy, and the concurrent parts, based on the concurrent evaluation of constraints, to coordinate concurrent program units.

Monadic I/O: Since web service programs usually interact with their environment (e.g., retrieve or store information in files on the server), some knowledge about performing I/O in a declarative manner is required. The I/O concept of Curry is identical to the monadic I/O concept of Haskell [18], i.e., an interactive program computes a sequence of actions which are applied to the outside world. Actions have type \( \text{IO } \alpha \) which means that they return a result of type \( \alpha \) whenever they are applied to (and change) the outside world. For instance, getChar of type \( \text{IO } \text{Char} \) is an action which reads a character from the standard input whenever it is executed, i.e., applied to a world. Similarly, “readFile f” is an action which returns the contents of file \( f \) in the current world. Actions can only be sequentially composed. For instance, the action getChar can be composed with the action putChar (which has type \( \text{Char} \rightarrow \text{IO } () \)) writes a character to the terminal) by the sequential composition operator \( \Rightarrow \) (which has type \( \text{IO } \alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \text{IO } \beta) \rightarrow \text{IO } \beta \)), i.e., “getChar \( \Rightarrow \) putChar” is a composed action which prints the next character of the input stream on the screen. Finally, “return e” is the “empty” action which simply returns \( e \) (see [18] for more details).

3 Modeling Basic HTML

In order to avoid certain syntactical errors (e.g., unbalanced parenthesis) during the generation of HTML documents by a web server, the programmer should not be forced to generate the explicit text of HTML documents (as in CGI scripts written in Perl or with the Unix shell). A better approach is the introduction of an abstraction layer where HTML documents are modeled as terms of a
specific data type together with a wrapper function which is responsible for the
correct textual representation of this data type. Such an approach can be easily
implemented in a language supporting algebraic data types (e.g., [13]). Thus, we
introduce the type of HTML expressions in Curry as follows:

data HtmlExp = HtmlText String
  | HtmlStruct String [(String,String)] [HtmlExp]
  | HtmlElem String [(String,String)]

Thus, an HTML expression is either a plain string or a structure consisting of
a tag (e.g., B,EM,H1,H2,...), a list of attributes, and a list of HTML expressions
contained in this structure. The translation of such HTML expressions into their
corresponding textual representation is straightforward: an HtmlText is repre-
sented by its argument, and a structure with tag \( t \) is enclosed in the brackets
\( \langle t \rangle \) and \( \langle /t \rangle \) (where the attributes are eventually added to the open bracket).
Since there are a few HTML elements without a closing tag (like \( <HR> \) or \( <BR> \)),
we have included the alternative HtmlElem to represent these elements.

Since writing HTML documents in this form might be tedious, we define several functions as useful abbreviations (htmlQuote transforms characters with
a special meaning in HTML, like \( \& \), \( \lt \), \( \gt \), into their HTML quoted form):

```
h1 hexps = HtmlStruct "H1" [] hexps -- main header
bold hexps = HtmlStruct "b" [] hexps -- bold font
italic hexps = HtmlStruct "i" [] hexps -- italic font
hrule = HtmlElem "HR" [] -- horizontal rule
```

As a simple example, the following expression defines a “Hello World” document
consisting of a header and two words in italic and bold font, respectively:

```
[h1 [html "Hello World"],
   italic [html "Hello"], bold [html "world!"]]
```

4 Input Forms

In order to enable more sophisticated interactions between clients using standard
browsers and a web server, HTML defines so-called FORM elements which usually
contains several input elements to be filled out by the client. When the client
submits such a form, the data contained in the input elements is encoded and
sent (on the standard input or with the URL) to the server which starts a CGI
program to react to the submission. The activated program decodes the input
data and performs some application-dependent processing before it returns an
HTML document on the standard output which is then sent back to the client.

In principle, the type HtmlExp is sufficient to model all kinds of HTML doc-
ments including input elements like text fields, check buttons etc. For instance,
an input field to be filled out with a text string can be modeled as

```
HtmlElem "INPUT" [("TYPE", "TEXT"), ("NAME", name), ("VALUE", cont)]
```
where the string \texttt{cont} defines an initial contents of this field and the string \texttt{name} is used to identify this field when the data of the filled form is sent to the server. This direct approach is taken in CGI libraries for scripting languages like Perl or also in the CGI library for Haskell [13]. In this case, the program running on the web server is an I/O action that decodes the input data (contained in environment variables and the standard input stream) and puts the resulting HTML document on the output stream. Therefore, CGI programs can be implemented in any programming language supporting access to the system environment. However, this basic view results in an awkward programming style when sequences of interactions (i.e., HTML forms) must be modeled where state should be passed between different interactions. Therefore, we propose a higher abstraction level and we will show that the functional and logic features of Curry can be exploited to provide an appropriate programming infrastructure. There are two basic ideas of our programming model:

1. The input fields are not referenced by strings but by elements of a specific abstract data type. This has the advantage that the names of references correspond to names of program variables so that the compiler can check inconsistencies in the naming of references.

2. The program that is activated when a form is submitted is implemented together with the program generating the form. This has the advantage that sequences of interactions can be simply implemented using the control abstractions of the underlying language and state can be easily passed between different interactions of a sequence using the references mentioned above.

For dealing with references to input fields, we use logical variables since it is well known that logical variables are a useful notion to express dependencies inside data structures [6,19]. To be more precise, we introduce a data type

\begin{verbatim}
data CgiRef = CgiRef String
\end{verbatim}

denoting the type of all references to input elements in HTML forms. This data type is abstract, i.e., its constructor \texttt{CgiRef} is not exported by our library. This is essential since it avoids the construction of wrong references. The only way to introduce such references are logical variables, and the global wrapper function is responsible to instantiate these variables with appropriate references (i.e., instantiate each reference variable to a term of the form \texttt{CgiRef n} where \( n \) is a unique name).

To include references in HTML forms, we extend the definition of our data type for HTML expressions by the following alternative:

\begin{verbatim}
data HtmlExp = ... | HtmlRef HtmlExp CgiRef
\end{verbatim}

A term “\texttt{HtmlRef hexp cr}” denotes an HTML element \texttt{hexp} with a reference to it. Usually, \texttt{hexp} is one of the input elements defined for HTML, like text fields, text areas, check boxes etc. For instance, a text field is defined by the following abbreviation in our library:

\begin{verbatim}

\footnote{Note that this function must be flexible so that the first argument, which can only be a logical variable, is instantiated by the application of this function.}
textfield :: CgiRef -> String -> HtmlExp
textField eval flex
textField (CgiRef ref) contents =
  HtmlRef (HtmlElem "INPUT" ["TYPE","TEXT"],["NAME",ref],
  ["VALUE",contents])

(CgiRef ref)

Note that ref is unbound when this function is applied but it will be bound to
a unique name (string) by the wrapper function executing the form (see below).

A complete HTML form consists of a title and a list of HTML expressions to
be displayed by the client's browser, i.e., we represent HTML forms as expressions
of the following data type:

data HtmlForm = Form String [HtmlExp]

Thus, we can define a form containing a single input element (a text field) by

Form "Form" [hi [html "A Simple Form"],
  html "Enter a string:", textField ref ""]

In order to submit a form to the web server, HTML supports "submit" buttons
(we only discuss this submission method here although there are others). The
actions to be taken are described by CGI programs that decode the submitted
values of the form before they perform the appropriate actions. To simplify these
actions and combine them with the program generating the form, we propose
an event handling model for CGI programming. For this purpose, each submit
button is associated with an event handler responsible to perform the appropriate
actions. An event handler is a function from a CGI environment into an I/O
action (in order to enable access to the server environment) that returns a new
form to be sent back to the client. A CGI environment is simply a mapping from
CGI references into strings. When an event handler is executed, it is supplied
with a CGI environment containing the values entered by the client into the
form. Thus, event handlers have the type

type EventHandler = (CgiRef -> String) -> IO HtmlForm

To attach an event handler to an HTML element, we finally extend the definition
of our data type for HTML expressions by:

data HtmlExp = ... | HtmlEvent HtmlExp EventHandler

A term "HtmlEvent hexp handler" denotes an HTML element hexp (typically
a submit button) with an associated event handler. Thus, submit buttons are
defined as follows:

button :: String -> EventHandler -> HtmlExp
button txt handler =
  HtmlEvent (HtmlElem "INPUT" ["TYPE","SUBMIT"],["NAME","EVENT"],
  ["VALUE",txt]) handler
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The argument `txt` is the text shown on the button and the attribute `NAME` is later used to identify the selected submit button (since several buttons can occur in one form, see Sect. 6).

To see a simple but complete example, we show the specification of a form where the user can enter a string and choose between two actions (reverse or duplicate the string, see Figure 1):  

```haskell
revdup = return $ Form "Question"
    [htxt "Enter a string: ", textfield tref "", hrule,
      button "Reverse string" revhandler,
      button "Duplicate string" duphandler]

where

tref free

revhandler env = return $ Form "Answer"
    [h1 [htxt ("Reversed input: " ++ reverse (env tref))]]

duphandler env = return $ Form "Answer"
    [h1 [htxt ("Duplicated input: " ++ env tref ++ env tref)]]
```

Note the simplicity of retrieving values entered into the form: since the event handlers are called with the appropriate environment containing these values, they can easily access these values by applying the environment to the appropriate CGI reference, like `(env tref)`. This structure of CGI programming is made possible by the functional as well as logic programming features of Curry.

Forms are executed by a special wrapper function that performs the translation into concrete HTML code, decoding the entered values and invoking the correct event handler. This wrapper function has the following type:

```haskell
runcgi :: String -> IO HtmlForm -> IO ()
```

It takes a string (the URL under which this CGI program is accessible on the server) and an I/O action returning a form and returns an I/O action which,

---

1 The predefined right-associative infix operator `f $ e` denotes the application of `f` to the argument `e`. 
when executed, returns the HTML code of the form. Thus, the above form is executed by the following main function

```plaintext
main = runcgi "revdup.cgi" revdup
```

provided that the executable of this program is stored in `revdup.cgi`.

### 5 Server Side Web Scripting

In this section we will show by various examples that the components for web server programming introduced so far (i.e., logical variables for CGI references, associated event handlers depending on CGI environments) are sufficient to solve typical problems in CGI programming in an appropriate way, like handling sequences of interactions or holding intermediate states between interactions.

#### 5.1 Accessing the Web Server Environment

From the previous example it might be unclear why the event handlers as well as the wrapper function assumes that the form is encapsulated in an I/O action. Although this is unnecessary for applications where the web server is used as a "computation server" (where the result depends only on the form inputs), in many applications the clients want to access or manipulate data stored on the server. In these cases, the web service program must be able to access the server environment which is easily enabled by running it in the I/O monad.

As a simple example for such kinds of applications, we show the definition of a (not recommendable) form to retrieve the contents of an arbitrary file stored at the server:

```plaintext
getfile = return $ Form "Question"
    [htxt "Enter local file name:", textfield fileref ",",
     button "Get file!" handler]

where

    fileref free

    handler env = readFile (env fileref) >>= \contents ->
    return $ Form "Answer"
        [h1 [htxt ("Contents of " ++ env fileref)],
         verbatim contents]
```

Here it is essential that the event handler is executed in the I/O monad, otherwise it has no possibility to access the contents of the local file via the I/O action `readFile` before computing the contents of the returned form. In a similar way, arbitrary data can be retrieved or stored by the web server while executing CGI programs.

#### 5.2 Interaction Sequences

In the previous examples the interaction between the client and the web server is quite simple: the client sends a request by filling a form which is answered
by the server with an HTML document containing the requested information. In realistic applications it is often the case that the interaction is not finished by sending back the requested information but the client requests further (e.g., more detailed) information based on the received results. Thus, one has to deal with sequences of longer interactions between the client and the server.

Our programming model provides a direct support for interaction sequences. Since the answer provided by the event handler is an HTML form rather than an HTML expression, this answer can also contain further input elements and associated event handlers. By nesting event handlers, it is straightforward to implement bounded sequences of interactions and, therefore, we omit an example.

A more interesting question is whether we can implement other control abstractions like arbitrary loops. For this purpose, we show the implementation of a simple number guessing game: the client has to guess a number known by the server, and for each number entered by the client the server responds whether this number is right, smaller or larger than the number to be guessed. If the guess is not right, the answer form contains an input field where the client can enter the next guess.

Due to the underlying declarative language, we implement looping constructs by recursion. Thus, the event handler computing the answer for the client contains a recursive call to the initial form which implements the interaction loop. The entire implementation of this number guessing game is as follows:

```haskell
guessform = return $ Form "Number Guessing" guessinput

guessinput =
  [htxt "Guess a number: ", textfield nref "",
   button "Check" (guesshandler nref)] where nref free

guesshandler nref env =
  let nr = readInt (env nref)
  in return $ Form "Answer"
      (if nr==42
       then [htxt "Right!"]
       else [htxt (if nr<42 then "Too small!" else "Too large!")],
       hrule] ++ guessinput)
```

`guessinput` is an HTML expression corresponding to the initial form which contains an input field for entering the client's guess. `guesshandler` is the associated event handler where the CGI reference to the input field is the first argument of the handler. It checks the number entered by the client (`readInt` converts a string into a number) and returns the different answers depending on the client's guess. If the guess is not right, the `guessinput` is appended to the answer which implements the recursive call.

It should be clear that this general recursion pattern can be extended in various ways. For instance, counting the number of guesses made by the client is quite simple: the only change to the above program is the addition of a counter argument to `guessinput` and `guesshandler` which is initialized in the main function `guessform` and incremented in each recursive call.
5.3 Handling Intermediate States

A nasty problem in many CGI applications is the handling of intermediate states due to the fact that HTTP is a stateless protocol. For instance, in electronic commerce applications, the clients have shopping baskets where the already selected items are stored, and the contents of these baskets must be kept between the interactions. Storing this information on the server side has several drawbacks. For instance, the client wants to identify himself only after he really orders the items, i.e., during the selection phase the server cannot uniquely associate the selections to a client. Furthermore, the client might not proceed with his selections so that the server does not know whether the basket information can be deleted (which is necessary at some point to avoid a memory overflow). Therefore, it is often better to store such client-dependent information on the client side. For this purpose, one can have HTML forms with input elements of type HIDDEN which have no visual representation but can be used to pass client-dependent information between interactions. “Raw” HTML/CGI programmers must explicitly handle these fields which is awkward and a source of many programming problems.

Our programming model offers a much simpler solution to this problem. By nesting event handlers (which is allowed in languages with lexical scoping like Curry), one can directly refer to input elements in previous forms. To be more concrete, we consider a sequence of HTML forms where the client enters his first name in the first form and his last name in the second form. The complete name is returned in the third form. This example can be implemented as follows:

```plaintext
nameform = return $ Form "First Name Form"
  [htxt "Enter your first name: ", textfield first ",",
   button "Continue" fhandler]
where first free

fhandler _ =
  return $ Form "Last Name Form"
  [htxt "Enter your last name: ", textfield last ",",
   button "Continue" lhandler]
where last free

lhandler env = return $ Form "Answer"
  [htxt ("Hi, " ++ env first ++ " " ++ env last)]
```

Note that, due to lexical scoping, the variable first is visible in the lhandler without explicitly passing it as an argument.

5.4 Improving Compositionality

It is well known that an advantage of functional programming is the direct support for building application-oriented abstractions, thus, increasing modularity [10]. Unfortunately, “raw” CGI as well as functional libraries for CGI programming as [13] do not support compositionality in CGI programming due to the
use of fixed strings for identifying form elements. In the following, we will show that our approach to web service programming improves compositionality by exploiting the functional and logic features of the base language.

As an example, consider that we want to add to each web page of a set of dynamic web pages a search field where the client can retrieve some specific information, e.g., the email address of a person. It is reasonable to define for this purpose a sequence of HTML elements abstracting such a search field together with its event handler. In our approach, this can be implemented as follows:

```plaintext
emailSearch = [hrule, htxt "Enter a name: ", textfield nref "",
               button "search email" lookup, hrule]

where nref free
lookup env = ...getEmail (env nref)...
```

The code for the event handler `lookup` is not completely shown since this depends on accessing a data base containing the email addresses.\(^6\) The important point is that the abstraction `emailSearch` can be used as any other sequence of HTML elements without taking care of the names of the input fields since the field identifier `nref` is a local variable in `emailSearch` and, thus, not visible outside this abstraction. For instance, the HTML sequence

```plaintext
[... , textfield nref "", ...] ++ emailSearch ++ ...
```

causes no name clash between the different field identifiers due to the lexical scoping of the underlying programming language. This is not true in "raw" CGI programming where the programmer has to be careful about the selection of field names to avoid potential name conflicts (which can result in nasty programming errors).\(^7\) This example shows the improved compositionality by our abstraction layer for web service programming.

6 Implementation

Our library for web service programming is completely implemented in Curry. It does not require any extension to web servers but uses only the standard features of CGI. Since these are supported by most web servers, our library can be used with most web servers (where a Curry system is also installed). In this section, we discuss the implementation of our programming model with CGI references and event handlers on top of the standard CGI features.

The entire implementation is performed by the main wrapper function `runCGI` which basically takes a specification of an HTML form and translates it into the corresponding concrete HTML text. Moreover, it performs the following tasks:

\(^6\) For instance, this can be easily done by sending a message to an address server using the features for distributed programming in Curry [5].

\(^7\) Although one can use several forms in one HTML document to avoid name conflicts, this does not work in general if some input fields should be shared.
- Assigning unique identifiers (strings) to the CGI references occurring in the form specification, i.e., the logical variables in the CGI references are instantiated to these string identifiers.

- Assigning unique identifiers (strings) to each event handler occurring in the form specification. For instance, each submit button contains after this assignment a name attribute of the form EVENT.s, where s is a string uniquely identifying the event handler associated to the button that the client has pressed to submit the form.

- Adding the input values of the previous (enclosing) forms as hidden inputs.

If a web server receives a request to execute a service implemented with our library, it executes the wrapper function runcgi applied to the corresponding form (compare end of Sect. 4) in the environment of the web server. Thus, runcgi first checks the environment variables in order to decode the list of input values entered by the user (which might be empty for the initial form). If there is no input value named EVENT.s, then this is the call of the top-level form and not a submission of a previous form. In this case, the top-level form is translated and written on the standard output stream so that the web server returns it to the client. If there is an input value identifying the selected handler (i.e., the name EVENT.s is defined in the input environment), runcgi selects the associated event handler in the form specification and executes it together with the current CGI environment as an argument.

The current CGI environment is computed as follows. First, the list of name/value pairs passed in a string representation to the CGI program is decoded and stored in a list of pairs of strings. The selection of the value associated to a CGI reference in this list is implemented by a simple list lookup function

\[ \text{cgiGetValue} :: [(\text{String}, \text{String})] \to \text{CgiRef} \to \text{String} \]

If \text{env} denotes the current list of decoded name/value pairs, the corresponding CGI environment can be computed by the partial application \((\text{cgiGetValue} \ \text{env})\) which has the required type \(\text{CgiRef} \to \text{String}\). Although the implementation of environments can be improved by more sophisticated data structures (e.g., balanced search trees), our practical experience indicates that this simple implementation is sufficient.

7 Conclusions and Related Work

In this paper we have presented a new model for programming web services based on the standard Common Gateway Interface. Since this model is put on top of the multi-paradigm language Curry, we could exploit functional as well as logic programming techniques to provide a high abstraction level for our programming model. We have used functional abstractions for specifying HTML forms as expressions of a specific data type so that only well-formed HTML structures can be written. Furthermore, higher-order functional abstractions are used to attach event handlers to particular HTML elements like buttons and to provide a straightforward access to input values via an environment model. Since
event handlers can be nested, we have a direct support to define sequences (or sessions) of interactions between the client and the server where states or input values of previous forms are available in subsequent interactions. This overcomes the stateless nature of HTTP. On the other hand, the logical features of Curry are used to deal with references to input values in HTML forms. Since a form can have an arbitrary number of input values, we consider them as "holes" in an HTML expression which are filled by the user so that event handlers can access these values through an environment. Using logical variables to refer to input values is more appropriate than the use of strings as in raw HTML since some errors (e.g., mispelled names) are detected at compile time and HTML forms can be composed without name clashes.

Since Curry has more features than used in the examples of this paper, we shortly discuss the advantages of using them. Curry subsumes logic programming, i.e., it offers not only logical variables but also built-in search facilities and constraint solving. Thus, one can easily provide web services where constraint solving and search is involved (e.g., web services with a natural language interface), as shown in the (purely logic-based) PiLoW library [2]. Since event handlers must be deterministic functions, the encapsulation of search in Curry [8] becomes quite useful for such kinds of applications. Furthermore, Curry exploits the logic programming features to support concurrent and distributed programming by the use of port constraints [5]. This can be used to retrieve information from other Internet servers (as done in the web pages for Curry to generate the members of the Curry mailing list\(^8\) where the web server interacts with a database server).

Finally, we compare our approach with some other proposals for providing a higher level for web programming than the raw CGI. MAWL [12] is a domainspecific language for programming web services. In order to allow the checking of well-formedness of HTML documents, in MAWL documents are written in HTML with some gaps that are filled by the server before sending the document to the client. Since these gaps are filled only with simple values, the generation of documents whose structure depends on some computed data is largely restricted. To overcome this restriction, MAWL offers special iteration gaps which can be filled with list values but more complex structures, like unbounded hierarchical structures, are not supported in contrast to our approach. On the positive side, MAWL has a special (imperative) language to support the handling of sequences of interactions with traditional imperative control structures and the management of state variables. However, the programming model is different than ours. In MAWL the presentation of an HTML document is considered as a remote procedure call in the sequence of interaction statements. Therefore, there is exactly one program point to continue the handling of the client's answer where our model allows several event handlers that can be called inside one document (see the form \texttt{revdup} in Sect. 4).

The restrictions of MAWL to create dynamic documents have been weakened in DynDoc [15] that supports higher-order document templates, i.e., the gaps

\(^8\)\url{http://www.informatik.uni-kiel.de/~curry}
in a document can be filled with other documents that can also contain gaps. Thus, unbounded hierarchically structured documents can be easily created. In contrast to our approach, DynDoc is based on a specific language for writing dynamic web services while we exploit the features of the existing high-level language Curry for the same task so that we can immediately use all features and libraries for Curry to write web applications, like higher-order functions, constraints, ports for distributed programming etc.

Similarly to our library-based approach, there are also libraries to support HTML and CGI programming in other functional and logic languages. Meijer [13] has developed a CGI library for Haskell that defines a data type for HTML expressions together with a wrapper function that translates such expressions into a textual HTML representation. However, it does not offer any abstraction for programming sequences of interactions. These must be implemented in the traditional way by choosing strings for identifying input fields, passing states as hidden input fields etc. Similarly, the representation of HTML documents in Haskell proposed by Thiemann [17] concentrates only on ensuring the well-formedness of documents and do not support the programming of interactions. Nevertheless, his approach is interesting since it demonstrates how a sophisticated type system can be exploited to include more static checks on the document structure, in particular, to check the validity of the attributes assigned to HTML elements. Hughes [11] proposes a generalization of monads, called arrows, to deal with sequences of interactions and passing state in CGI programming but, in contrast to our approach, his proposal does not contain specific features for dealing with references to input fields. The PiLoW library [2] is an HTML/CGI library for Prolog. Due to the untyped nature of Prolog, static checks on the form of HTML documents are not supported. Furthermore, there is no higher-level support for sequences of interactions.

Since the programming model proposed in this paper needs no specific extension to Curry, it provides appropriate support to implement web-based interfaces to existing Curry applications. Moreover, it can be considered as a domain-specific language for writing web service scripts. Thus, this demonstrates that a multi-paradigm declarative language like Curry can also be used as a scripting language for server side web applications. We have shown that the functional as well as the logic features provide a good infrastructure to design such a domain-specific language. The implementation of this library is freely available with our Curry development system PAKCS [7]. All examples in this paper are executable with this implementation. Furthermore, the library is currently used to dynamically create parts of the web pages for Curry Web, to handle the submission information for the Journal of Functional and Logic Programming 10, and for correcting the student’s home assignments in the introductory programming lecture in our department (among others).

Although our programming model and its implementation works well in all these applications, it might be interesting for future work to provide alternative

\[1 \text{http://www.informatik.uni-kiel.de/~curry}\]
\[10 \text{http://danae.uni-muenster.de/lehre/kuchem/JFLP/}\]
implementations with specialized infrastructures (e.g., servlets, security layers etc) for the same programming model.
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